

Agreement Attraction in German SOV Structures: An ERP Study

Robin Schäfer, Sol Lago & Titus von der Malsburg University of Potsdam rschaefer@uni-potsdam.de

1. Agreement Attraction and Processing Accounts

Agreement attraction errors are characterized by the verb's faulty number mismatch with the subject (*chemist*) and its simultaneous match with the attractor (*test tubes*).

- *The chemist with the test tubes are conducting an experiment.
- They occur during the comprehension of subject-verb dependencies [1,2,3] and are **asymmetrical**:
- They occur more often with sg subject heads and pl attractors
- They mostly affect ungrammatical sentences, where the subject head and verb mismatch in number

Two alternative accounts based on content-based memory retrieval have been proposed [1,3]:

- (i) Memory retrieval account
 - Cue-based retrieval always occurs during agreement processing
 - Verb number is used as a cue to retrieve subject
- (ii) Error-driven account
 - Reanalysis specifically caused by subject-verb number mismatch
 - Cue-based retrieval to find matching noun to resolve mismatch

2. Link to the P600

The P600 has been interpreted in terms of reanalysis (e.g. [4]).

Consequences for processing accounts:

- Memory retrieval account \rightarrow no P600 modulations due to lack of reanalysis
- Error-driven account \rightarrow P600 modulations due to reanalysis

3. Predictions

- (i) P600 for ungrammatical items
- (ii) Memory retrieval account
 - No reduced P600 in case of agreement attraction (pl, ungram vs sg, ungram)

(iii) Error-driven account

 Reduced P600 in case of agreement attraction (pl, ungram vs sg, ungram)

5. Materials

120 experimental items (German SOV structures) + 140 filler items Item structure: matrix clause + subordinate clause I (SOV) + subordinate clause II

Condition	Matrix Clause	Subject	Attractor	Adverb I	Adverb II	Verb (critical region)	
sg, gram			die Frau _{SG}			beobachtete _{SG} ,	
sg, ungram			die Frau _{SG}			beobachteten _{PL} ,	
pl, gram	Pia erzählt, dass	der Mann _{SG}	die Frauen _{PL}	gestern	heimlich	beobachtete _{SG} ,	•••
pl, ungram			die Frauen _{PL}			beobachteten _{PL} ,	
	Pia says that	the man _{SG}	the woman/women	yesterday	secretly	watched _{SG/PL}	
'Pia says that, yesterday, the man secretly watched the woman/women'							

6. Results

Linear mixed model:

- Predictors: attraction (-0.5 vs 0.5), grammaticality (-0.5 vs 0.5), their interaction
- Maximal random effects structure

Effects:

- 1. Reliable effect of grammaticality (b=3.00, t=8.82)
 - Increased positivity for ungrammatical sentences (sg, ungram, pl, ungram) → P600 effect

4. Design

- 2×2 fully-crossed factorial design
- Factor 1: Number of attractor NP (sg vs pl)
- Factor 2: Grammaticality of verb (gram vs ungram)
- 33 participants (26 included into analysis)
- Items presented in RSVP mode (SOA = 450ms)
- Method: ERP technique
- Task: acceptability judgments









- 2. Reliable interaction of grammaticality and attractor number (b=-2.06, t=-2.73)
 - Decreased positivity for ungrammatical sentences with plural attractors (pl, ungram) → agreement attraction effect

Condition averages at Pz: critical verb



7. Conclusion

Reduced P600 if attractor number matches with the ungrammatical verb.

- 1. Support for error-driven account
 - Reduction of P600 as indicator of reduced reanalysis
- 2. Evidence against memory retrieval account
 - Would have predicted no modulation of P600

8. References

[1] Wagers, Lau & Phillips (2009). Journal of Memory and Language. [2] Tanner, Nicol & Brehm (2014). Journal of Memory and Language. [3] Lago, Shalom, Sigman, Lau & Phillips (2015). Journal of Memory and Language. [4] Metzner, von der Malsburg, Vasishth & Rösler (2016). Cognitive Science. [5] Kaan (2002). Journal of Psycholinguistic Research.

Evidence for error-driven account in a syntactic configuration (SOV structures) different from PP-modifiers (see [5] for comparison)
First ERP evidence for agreement attraction in German